

# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

BRANCH: FOB/G

AGENT CRYPTONYM: CARAVEL

PROJECT: CARAVEL

AGENT OPERATIONAL ALIAS:

CASE OFFICER ALIAS: TRUE NAME

DATE OF REPORT: 29 APRIL 1964

CASE OFFICER PSEUDONYM: [REDACTED]

TIME OF MEETING: 1800 - 2130 HOURS

DATE OF MEETING: 28 APRIL 1964

ALSO PRESENT: [REDACTED]

PLACE OF MEETING: CARAVEL HOME

PERIOD COVERED:

PURPOSE OF MEETING: REGULAR MEETING

1. Time and place of meeting had been arranged at previous meeting and confirmed by telephone. Next meeting will take place <sup>after</sup> on 18 May 1964 and will probably take place at CARAVEL's home, details to be arranged by telephone.

## SUBSTANCE OF MEETING:

2. This is probably one of the longest meetings we have ever had with Subject who is aware of the fact that this case is now being turned over from [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] and who probably spent more time with us to get better acquainted with [REDACTED] or perhaps because the pressures of his work make it desirable for him to have an outlet and an opportunity to talk to people freely without restraint merely to unburden himself.

3. The conversations ranged over a wide area of subjects. Included considerable reminiscence about his own police work prior to the outbreak of WW I and in talking about the Auschwitz trial, included his own first information about concentration camps, about the fact that habitual criminals were sent to them and returned claiming that they had to work like hell, that this, however, had left them in good physical condition and had been a part of rehabilitation. The conversation included further the telling of a number of incidents relating to people whom he had met after they returned from Auschwitz and other camps at the end of the war.

4. He complained, although not bitterly, about the fact that salaries in the higher brackets were taxed so heavily that the position of senior civil service personnel were in reality not anywhere near as good as some of the younger people. <sup>AN NEW POSITION FOR '64 AND IS RECALCULATED</sup> He cited that his budget provided for he would get about 300 DM a month more but 42% of the increase would have to be paid in taxes.

5. He spent considerable time in making some rather bitter statements about the Silberstein report on people like Radke; stating that Silberstein (who is responsible for putting the CALLIKAK reports together for the Bundestag) actually never did anything beyond being introduced to Radke and yet was bitterly critical of the latter.

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCES/METHODS/EXEMPTION 3B2B  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2007

6. We spent some time in the discussion of the case against the general manager of the Henschel company. He was most bitter and critical of the German press which had totally distorted the action undertaken by the police. No arrests had been made at 4:00 o'clock in the morning as reported by the press, nor had the case been a police action as it has been called in some of the papers. Police in this case acted strictly on orders of a Judge obtained by a District Attorney. The case against the Henschel Company and specifically against 52% stockholder GOERGEN, has been in preparation for a long time. It was selected as the first case to be surfaced (out of a total of 253 in preparation) because there was fear that if given more time the Henschel officials would successfully cover up traces of illegal activity. The case against GOERGEN which the state prosecutors officer of Rhineland/Pfalz will develop is based primarily on fraud perpetrated <sup>by</sup> <sup>on</sup> GOERGEN <sup>and</sup> by his partners. It will be demonstrated on the basis of private correspondence between GOERGEN and the American intermediaries non-position of the court that money was deposited for GOERGEN in a Swiss bank account from the difference between the market value of the items procurred and the price paid by Henschel. The court hopes to destroy GOERGEN's image with his partners and blacken his reputation to such an extent that the press will lay off bemoaning the possible fate of the Henschel employees who could lose their jobs if Henschel is forced to close down. At the meeting of the defense committee of the German parliament at which the Henschel affair will be discussed the spokesman will be the head of the ministry of defense unit investigating fraudulent army contracts.

7. CARAVEL specifically asked some questions in connection with the Lieberman case with regards to the status of the INTROPOL record on this individual as requested <sup>as requested</sup> by the SOV Branch. Subject to our request, he checked the INTROPOL records and advised telephonically that this is an active case on their records. He also advised that to upgrade the INTROPOL record by filing a wanted, as opposed to a "warning" notice, could be accomplished by filing additional charges against the individual by the police department which originally requested INTROPOL coverage -- this being Berlin. However, to make a wanted person out of this individual espionage or intelligence charges would not be sufficient. He suggested suspicion of dope use or smuggling as a possible charge where merely suspicion would be sufficient to cause the arrest. This information has been supplied to the SOV Branch.

8. CARAVEL answered a question with regards to potential documentation of

█ He stated that EMA's were open to the public that you did not even need documentation. However, if you were to make frequent checks documentation as a detective or an employee of a detective agency would be sufficient. It would not be proper to document him as a police officer since EMA checks by the German police are made via TELEX and not by personal call. This information was furnished to the SAT Branch.

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**